



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,640	09/29/2008	Masao Shiozaki	3443-4006	7966
85775 7590 06/09/2011 Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP Attn: IP Docketing Three World Financial Center New York, NY 10281-2101			EXAMINER SCHMIDTMANN, BAHAR	
			ART UNIT 1623	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 06/09/2011	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptopatentcommunication@lockelord.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/585,640	Applicant(s) SHIOZAKI ET AL.
	Examiner BAHAR SCHMIDTMANN	Art Unit 1623
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>abandoned</u>	
(1) <u>BAHAR SCHMIDTMANN</u> (2) <u>Mr. Brandon Schurter</u>	(3) _____. (4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>02 June 2011</u>	Time: <u>10pm EST</u>	
<p>Type of Interview:</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) </p> <p>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If Yes, provide a brief description: _____</p> <p>Part I.</p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed: N/A</p> <p>Claims discussed: N/A</p> <p>Prior art documents discussed: N/A</p> <p>Part II.</p> <p>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</p> <p><i>A voice message was left with Mr. Brandon Schurter, Applicant's representative, informing him that the case has technically been abandoned since more than six months has passed without a response to the Restriction Requirement mailed out on 05 October 2010.</i></p> <p>Part III.</p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. </p>		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> /BAHAR SCHMIDTMANN/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623 </div> <div> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div> </div>		